

AAE

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

APR 21 2005

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

*Demand due Aug 3*

PCT A. ERSFELD

To:  
DEAN A. ERSFELD  
OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL  
POST OFFICE BOX 33427  
SAINT PAUL, MN 55133-3427

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF  
THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND  
THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL  
SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1)

"Office of Intellectual Property Counsel"  
"3M Innovative Properties Company"

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year)

18 APR 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
58915W0006

APR 21 2005

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below

International application No.  
PCT/US04/32616

International filing date  
(day/month/year) 01 October 2004 (01.10.2004)

Applicant  
3M INOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY

*Referred to*

- The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.

**Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:**

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):

**When?** The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.

**Where?** Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes  
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: +41 22 740 14 35

For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

**CITE  
ART 19  
U.S.P.T.O.?**

- The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
- With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
  - the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
  - no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

**4. Reminders**

Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US  
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Evelyn Huang

*J. Roberts for*

Telephone No. (571) 272-1600

Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

J. DISHNO

APR 21 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 58915WO006	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No. PCT/US04/32616	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 October 2004 (01.10.2004)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 03 October 2003 (03.10.2003)
Applicant 3M INOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY		

This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This international search report consists of a total of 4 sheets.

It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. **Basis of the Report**

a. With regard to the **language**, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

b.  With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.

2.  **Certain claims were found unsearchable** (See Box No. II)

3.  **Unity of invention is lacking** (See Box No. III)

4. With regard to the **title**,

the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

5. With regard to the **abstract**,

the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. With regard to the **drawings**,

a. the figure of the **drawings** to be published with the abstract is Figure No. \_\_\_\_\_

as suggested by the applicant.

as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.

as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.

b.  none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

## Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1.  Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
  
2.  Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
  
3.  Claims Nos.: 5-10,14,21,25,34,38,41,64,65 and 76-90  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:  
Please See Continuation Sheet

1.  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
  
4.  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  
 No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**

IPC(7) : A61K 31/4745, 31/496, 31/506, 31/5377, 31/541, 31/551; C07D 471/04, 401/14, 405/14; A61P 37/02  
 US CL : 514/293, 232.8, 253.03, 218, 214.03, 217.07, 273, 228.5; 546/82; 544/126, 60, 361; 540/575, 585, 597

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
 U.S. : 514/293, 232.8, 253.03, 218, 214.03, 217.07, 273, 228.5; 546/82; 544/126, 60, 361; 540/575, 585, 597

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
 CAS ONLINE

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X,P	US 2004/0176367 A1 (GRIENGRABER et al) 09 September 2004 (09.09.2004), see entire document, especially page 53, Example 22; pages 54-56. compound I-Id.	1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, 66-75
A	US 6,200,592 B1 (TOMAI et al) 13 March 2001 (13.03.2001), columns 3-6, Formulae I-V.	1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39-40, 42-63, 66-75
A	US 4,753,951 A (TAKADA et al) 28 June 1988 (28.06.1988), columns 13-70.	91-93
A	US 4,698,348 A (GERSTER) 06 October 1987 (06.10.1987), column 5, line 57.	91-93

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	"T"
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

08 March 2005 (08.03.2005)

Date of mailing of the international search report

**18 APR 2005**

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  
 Commissioner for Patents  
 P.O. Box 1450  
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Evelyn Huang

Telephone No. (571) 272-1600

*F. Roberts for*

**BOX III. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING**

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63 and 66-75, drawn to a 4-aminoimidazoquinoline compound, its composition and method of use.

Group II, claim(s) 91-93, drawn to an imidazoquinoline compound of formula IX.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I is drawn to an immunomodulating 4-aminoimidazoquinoline compound. Group II is drawn to an imidazoquinoline compound of Formula IX, which has psychostimulant activity.

**PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

**PCT**

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:  
DEAN A. ERSFELD  
OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL  
POST OFFICE BOX 33427  
SAINT PAUL, MN 55133-3427

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) **18 APR 2005**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**  
See paragraph 2 below

58915W0006

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US04/32616

01 October 2004 (01.10.2004)

03 October 2003 (03.10.2003)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): A61K 31/4745, 31/496, 31/506, 31/5377, 31/541, 31/551; C07D 471/04, 401/14, 405/14; A61P 37/02 and US Cl.:  
514/293, 232.8, 253.03, 218, 214.03, 217.07, 273, 228.5; 546/82; 544/126, 60, 361; 540/575, 585, 597

Applicant

**3M INOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY**

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

**2. FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Evelyn Huang

Telephone No. (571) 272-1600

*F. Roberts for*

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

a sequence listing

table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

in written format

in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

contained in international application as filed.

filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

**Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability**

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

- the entire international application
- claims Nos. 5-10,14,21,25,34,38,41,64,65 and 76-90

because:

- the said international application, or the said claim Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

- the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 5-10,14,21,25,34,38,41,64,65 and 76-90 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

They are improperly multiply dependent claims. PCT Rule 6.4 (a).

- the claims, or said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
- no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_
- the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
- |                            |                          |                                   |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| the written form           | <input type="checkbox"/> | has not been furnished            |
|                            | <input type="checkbox"/> | does not comply with the standard |
| the computer readable form | <input type="checkbox"/> | has not been furnished            |
|                            | <input type="checkbox"/> | does not comply with the standard |
- the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-*bis* of the Administrative Instructions.
- See Supplemental Box for further details.



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/32616

**Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention**

1.  In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
- paid additional fees
  - paid additional fees under protest
  - not paid additional fees
2.  This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
- complied with
  - not complied with for the following reasons:  
See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/2.10)

4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:

- all parts.
- the parts relating to claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/US04/32616

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>Please See Continuation Sheet</u> YES
	Claims <u>Please See Continuation Sheet</u> NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>Please See Continuation Sheet</u> YES
	Claims <u>Please See Continuation Sheet</u> NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>Please See Continuation Sheet</u> YES
	Claims <u>Please See Continuation Sheet</u> NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, and 66-75 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the closest prior art, US 6,200,592 B1, only teaches alkoxy, and does not teach or fairly suggest the instant -O-R<sub>3</sub> substituent on the imidazoquinoline.

Claims 91-93 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the closest prior art, US 4,698,348 A, only teaches methoxy, and does not teach or fairly suggest the instant -O-R<sub>3</sub> substituent on the imidazoquinoline.

Claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, 66-75, and 91-93 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus find industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in the pharmaceutical industry as immunomodulating agent in treatment of diseases.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/US04/32616

**Supplemental Box**

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

**V.1. Reasoned Statements:**

The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, 66-75, 91-93

The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims NONE

The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39-40, 42-63, 66-75, 91-93

The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative (NO) with respect to claims NONE

The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-4, 11-13, 15-20, 22-24, 26-33, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-63, 66-75, 91-93

The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative (NO) with respect to claims NONE